Public Works (1905.) Department.

G.O., No. 451 } 452 } J.

DATED 10th May 1905

90 copies of G.O.
4 copies of Estt. with docket at the back for the G. of I.
30 copies of Estt. for records.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Storage Reservoir in the Plains in Connection with the Periyar Project

The Examiner authorised to pass the excess outlay of Rs 738 incurred over the estimate sanctioned for investigating a site for a ——— + a copy of the Pro: order to be forwarded to the G. of I.

Storage Reservoir in the Plains in Connection with the Periyar Project

Forwarding a copy of the Pro: authorising the Examiner to pass the excess outlay of Rs 738 incurred over the estimate sanctioned for investigating a site for a ———

Indexed

7 E G. 15/5/05

SPM 1058

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

IRRIGATION.
ACCOUNT.

Government {1905} of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1905. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., Nos. 451, 452 I., 10th May 1905.

MAY.

Storage Reservoir in the Plains in connection with the Periyar Project

Forwarding a copy of the Proceedings authorising the Examiner to pass the excess outlay of Rs. 733 incurred over the estimate sanctioned for investigating a site for a —.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

READ—again the following paper :—

G.O., No. 434 I., dated 12th April 1902.

ABSTRACT.—The estimate, amounting to Rs. 6,770, for investigating a site for a storage reservoir in the plains in connection with the Periyár project, sanctioned, and a copy of the Proceedings ordered to be forwarded to the Government of India for information.

READ—also the following paper :—

From C. A. SMITH, Esq., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Trichinopoly, 13th April 1905, No. 263 C.E.

I have the honour to submit the following extract from the completion statement of the Madura Division for the month of July 1904 and to request that the sanction of Government to the excess outlay may be obtained.

2. The completion statement has been duly verified by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, Madras.

3. The excess is said to be due to the following items not provided for in the estimate having been carried out :—

(1) Repairing the disused outhouse at Periyár.

(2) Keeping coolies to maintain forest paths, etc., for investigation for a longer period than was anticipated.

Item No.	Name of work.	Amount of estimate.	Authority sanctioning the estimate.	Outlay.	Excess over estimate.
	49. CAPITAL EXPENDITURE NOT CHARGED AGAINST REVENUE.	RS.		RS.	RS.
	Periyár Project Works.				
1	Estimate for investigating purposes, Periyár Extension Scheme.	5,500	G.O., No. 434 I., dated 12th April 1902.	6,096	596

4. A copy of Executive Engineer's letter No. 227-S.E., dated 1st April 1905, explaining the circumstances under which revised estimate was not submitted is forwarded for your information.

ENCLOSURE.

From M.R.Ry. A. V. RAMALINGA AIYAR Avargal, B.A., B.C.E., Executive Engineer, Madura Division, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 1st April 1905, No. 227-S.E.

Referring to your No. 5078, dated 24th December 1904, I have the honour to report as follows.

When the excess outlay was first noticed, the Sub-Division officer, Dindigul, was asked in this office No. 869/S., dated 8th March 1904, to explain. He replied in his No. 1577/E., dated 29th March 1904, that Supervisor Mr. Monisse was ordered to send in a deviation statement if the excess be within 5 per cent. of the estimate or a revised estimate if more. No revised estimate was received even though several reminders were issued from time to time.

As the investigation was completed and the work ordered to be closed, I was under the impression that the submission of a revised estimate after the work was completed would serve no purpose and hence a revised estimate was not submitted. The Sub-Division officer, Dindigul, was recently called upon to explain the action taken by him with reference to his No. 1577/E., dated 29th March 1904, quoted above, he reported that no action had been taken by the Supervisor, Periyár Head Works, as ordered in Sub-Division officer's No. 3387, dated 29th March 1904.

Under these circumstances, I have the honour to request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government for passing the excess outlay on the work in the absence of any revised estimate. The Supervisor, Mr. Monisse, will be directed to be more careful in future in promptly carrying out instructions given to him.

ORDER—No. 451 I., dated 10th May 1905.

The Examiner, Public Works Accounts, is authorised to pass the excess outlay of Rs. 596 or Rs. 733 including the charge for establishment incurred over the estimate sanctioned in the order read above.

2. The excess is stated to be due to the following items not having been provided for in the original estimate :—.

(1) Repairing the outhouse at Periyár.

(2) Employing coolies for the maintenance of forest paths for a longer period than was anticipated.

3. The sanction accorded in paragraph 1 is registered as No. 17 I. of 1905–1906.

4. Ordered that a copy of these Proceedings be forwarded to the Government of India for information.

[Here enter No. 452 I., dated 10th May 1905.]

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. H. GARRETT,
Joint Secretary to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
„ Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

ENDORSEMENT—No. 452 I., dated 10th May 1905.

Forwarded.

(By order.)

(Signed) A. H. GARRETT,
Joint Secretary to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

Exd. V. Ramachandra Rao.

Stock Form No.
3000—18-7-02.

Public Works (1905.) Department.

to be registered

CURRENT No. ~~1803~~ 4479

From

[*Name*] C. A. SMITH Esquire.,

[*Designation*] Superintending Engineer, VI Circle,

[*Station*] Trichinopoly.

No. 263 CF

Dated 13 April 1905.

Enclosures {*Plans* / *Others* 1} *Total.* 1

Spare copies

Received ~~18~~ 8 ~~4~~ 5 1905.

Registered ~~20~~ ~~4~~ 1905.

Passed on, by—

Registering Clerk 9-5- 1905.

U. R. Clerk 190 .

Referencer 190 .

Record Supdt. 190 .

Drafting Officer 190 .

SUBJECT

Investigation of Periyar extension scheme. Requesting that sanction of Government may be obtained for the excess outlay &c.—

BACK PAPERS.

FED
[initials] 18/4/05.

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The ~~Secretary to Government~~ Chief Engineer, ~~Public Works Department~~

For Irrigation,

Enclosure:-1.

M A D R A S.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the following extract from the Completion Statement of the Madura Division for the month of - July 1904 and to request that the sanction of Government to the excess outlay may be obtained.

2. The Completion Statement has been duly verified by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, Madras.

3. The excess is said to be due to the following items not provided for in the estimate having been carried out:-

(1). Repairing the disused outhouse at Periyar.

(2). Keeping coolies to maintain forest paths &c., for investigation for a longer period than was anticipated.

Item No.	Name of work.	Amount of Esti-mate.	Authority sanctioning the estimate.	Outlay	Excess over Esti-mate.	Remarks.
				Rs.	Rs.	
	49. Capital Expenditure not charged against Revenue. Periyar Project Works.					
1.	Estimate for investigating purposes, Periyar Extension Scheme.	5,500	G.O.No. 434-I, dated 12-4-02.	6,096	596	

2. A copy of Executive Engineer's letter No.227-S.E. dated 1st April 1905 explaining the circumstances under which revised estimate was not submitted is forwarded for your information.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
[signature]
Suptg: Engineer, VI Circle.

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.
20 APR 1905
1803
No.......

3 8P

Copy of letter No.227-S.E., dated 1st April 1905, from M.R.Ry, A.V.Ramalinga Aiyar Avl., B.A. B.C.E., Executive Engineer, Madura Division, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, Trichinopoly.

Referring to your No.5078 dated 24th December 1904, I have the honor to report as follows:-

When the excess outlay was first noticed, the Sub-Division Officer, Dindigul, was asked in this office No. 869/S, dated 8th March 1904 to explain. He replied in his No.1577/E, dated 29th March 1904 that Supervisor Mr. Monissee was ordered to send in a Deviation Statement - if the excess be within 5 per cent of the estimate or a revised estimate if more. No revised estimate was received even though several reminders were issued from - time to time.

As the investigation was completed and the work -- ordered to be closed, I was under the impression that - the submission of a revised estimate after the work was completed would serve no purpose and hence a revised -- estimate was not submitted. The Sub-Division Officer Dindigul, was recently called upon to explain the action taken by him with reference to his No.1577/E, dated 29th March 1904 quoted above, he reported that no action had been taken by the Supervisor, Periyar Head Works as ordered in Sub Division Officers No.3387 dated 29th March 1904.

Under these circumstances, I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government for passing the excess outlay on the work in the absence of any revised estimate. The Supervisor, Mr.Monisse will be directed to be more careful in future in promptly carrying out instructions given to him.

AHunt
13/4
Superintending Engineer,
P.V. VI Circle. 4

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
IRRIGATION BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ again the following

P.W.D.(Irrgn) d/12-4-02 No 434-I

Read also

From the Supg.Engineer VI Circle d/13th April 1905 No.263 C.E.

Order thereon No 451 I. D/10. 5. 05.

The Examiner, P.W.Accounts, is authorised to pass the excess outlay of Rs.596 or Rs.733 including the charge for establishment incurred over the estimate sanctioned in the order read above.

2. The excess is stated to be due to the following items not having been provided for in the original estimate.

(1) Repairing the outhouse at Periyar

(2) Employing coolies for the maintenance of forest paths for a longer period than was anticipated.

3. The sanction accorded in para 1 is registered as No. 17 I of 1905-06

4. Ordered that a copy of these proceedings be forwarded to the Government of India for information.

Forwarded

DESPATCHED 29 MAY 1905

Issue .

To the Chief Engr.for Irrgn.
" S.E, VI Circle.
" Examiner P.W.Accts.

DESPATCHED 25 MAY 1905

4/5/05.

E. I. Form No 1—500—7-4-04.

5

5

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Staff book of the [illegible] Bills

[illegible] the [illegible] to the Bank. There is

[illegible] in [illegible] the Statement of

the working of the